

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS . P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,108	08/01/2003	Tsuyoshi Yuki	103176-00003	3473	
4372 ARENT FOX F	7590 05/14/200° PLLC	7	EXAM	INER	
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	W.	GOLOBOY	, JAMES C	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPE		
		•	1714		
				<del></del>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			,							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	10/632,108	YUKI ET AL.								
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit								
	James Goloboy	1714								
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE STATE OF THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE STATE OF	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,							
Status	•									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 f	February 2007.									
	is action is non-final.									
• 1	<b>-</b>									
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 14-23 is/are pending in	the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			*							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-12 and 14-23</u> is/are rejected.			1							
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.									
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).								
1. Certified copies of the priority documen										
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Applicati	on No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.								
			,							
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) The late of the control of the co	(DTO 442)								
2) Notice of References Cled (P10-692)  Provided (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da									
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:									
. apoi motorman date	o/ ouier,									

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Please note the new examiner of record.

2. All outstanding rejections have been overcome by applicant's amendments of 2/23/07. New grounds of rejection necessitated by the amendments are set forth below.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 5 requires the branched alkyl R group of claim 1 to contain 20-24 carbon atoms. However, amended claim 1 already contains the same requirement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-5, 7-12, and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yuki (U.S. Pat. No. 6,746,993, "Yuki '993").

Yuki '993 is a continuation-in-part of application 10/114,283, which was published as PG Pub No. 2003/0036488 ("Yuki '488"). Subject matter in Yuki '993 which finds support in Yuki '488 is therefore accorded the earlier date of April 3, 2002. In Table 1 of Yuki '993, polymers made from a mixture comprising

Art Unit: 1714

various methacrylate monomers are disclosed:

TABLE 1

Example No.	Моношен	D <sub>m</sub>	ADVN	Tollvens	Polymer	
	Kied	wdq	pbw	pow	pbw	No.
1	DTM/MM/HM/DMAEM	32/36/30/2	0.9	0.5	25	A-1
2	DDHM/MM/DDM/HM	20/36/32/12	0.9	0.5	25	A-2
3	DTE, M/MM/DDM/HM	20/32/38/10	0.9	0.5	25	A-3
4	DTM/MM/HM	30/38/32	0.9	0.5	25	A-4
5	DDHMAM/DDM/HM	20/35/30/12	0.9	0.5	25	A-5
6	DTM/MM/DDM	20/30/50	0.9	0.5	25	A-6
7	DTM/DDHM/MM/DDM/DEAEM	30/10/35/23/2	0.9	0.5	25	A-7
8	DTMAIM/HM	30/35/35	0.9	0.5	25	A-8
9	MM/DDM/HM	20/70/10	0.9	0.5	25	A-9
10	OM/DDM	20/80	0.3	0.4	25	B-1
11	EHM/DDM/DMAEM	48/50/2	0.9	0.5	25	B-2
12	MM/DDM	55/45	0.0	0.5	25	B-3
13	DSM/MM/HM	40/30/30	0.9	0.3	25	B-4
14	MM/OX, M/OX, M/HM/OM	30/25/25/14/6	1.0	0.47	17.6	A-10
15	<b>ММ/ОДМ/ТМ/НМ/ОМ</b>	30/35/15/14/6	1.0	0.47	17.6	A-11
16	MM/DDM/TM/HM/OM/DSM	26/40/20/7/3/2	1.0	0.47	17.6	A-12

Tables 1-2 of Yuki '488 disclose similar polymers:

Art Unit: 1714

TABLE 1

		Tt (* C.) cf
	Monomer	homopolyme
s.1-ĭ	2-decyl-miradecyl methaczylsie	-30
a1-2	2-dodocyl-hexadecyl methacrylate	-14
41-3	2-decyl-tetradecyloxyethyl methecrylate	-25
43-1	2-cthylhexyl methacrylate	<=40 (*1)
<b>25-1</b>	a-decopy! methodylate	45

(\*1) toss than -40° C.

TABLE 2

		Copoly- mer	Monomer							D	AD				
			83-1	s1-2	s1-3	s.3-1	45-1	12-1	<b>s</b> 4-1	s4-2	13-2	41	4-2	м	VN
Example	`1	A-1	32					35	30	•		2		0.9	0.5
	2	A-2		20				35	12		32			0.9	g. <i>5</i>
	3	A-3			20			32	10		38			0.9	0.5
	4	A-4	30					39	32					0.9	0.5
	5	A-S		20				38	12		30			0.9	0.5
	ć	À-6	20					30			50			0.9	0.5
	7	A-7	30	10				35			23		2	0.9	0.5
	5	B-A	3D					35	35					D.3	0.5
	g.	9م.						20	10		70			0.9	0.5
	51	B-1								20	80			0.3	0,4
C. Example	1	X-1				48					59	2		0.5	0.5
	2	X-2						55			45			0.9	0.5
	3	X-3			·		40	33	30					0.9	0.5

a2-1: methyl metherrysie

In fact, polymers A-1 through A-9, and B-1 of Yuki '993 and Yuki '488 are identical. For example, polymer A-1 of both references is formed from a monomer mixture comprising 32% by weight of 2-decyl-tetradecyl methacrylate (DTM), 36% by weight of methyl methacrylate (MM), 30% by weight of n-hexadecyl methacrylate (HM), and 2% by weight of N,N-dimethyl aminoethylmethacrylate (DMAEM).

Yuki '993 teaches in column 7 lines 21-65 that the monomer mixture may further comprise an unsaturated monomer containing a hydroxyl or carboxyl group, which is also taught in paragraphs 76-91 of Yuki '488.

a4-1: z-hexadecyl meitacrylate

a4-2: a-octadecyl methacrylate

a3-2: a-dodecyl methacrylate

d-1: N,N-dimethyl amincethyl methacrylate

d-2: N,N-diethyl emino ethyl methacrylate

Art Unit: 1714

Yuki '993 teaches in column 4 lines 14-17 and column 8 lines 39-40 that the composition can further contain 10-60% by weight of a C<sub>1-4</sub> alkyl (meth)acrylate, which is mirrored in paragraphs 40 and 95 of Yuki '488. Examples 17-29 of Yuki '993 (Columns 17-18 and Table 3-4) disclose concentrates and lubricating compositions of the copolymer, which are supported by paragraphs 173-178 and Table 3-4 of Yuki '488. In column 13 lines 10-15 of Yuki '993, supported by paragraph 126 of Yuki '488, the uses of lubricating compositions recited in claim 21 are disclosed.

The above subject matter is therefore properly accorded a date of April 3, 2002, and qualifies as prior art.

Example 8 (Polymer A-8) of Yuki '993 is formed from a monomer mixture comprising 30% by weight of DTM (24 carbons), meeting the limitations of monomer (a) of claims 1, 5, 8, and 15, and 35% by weight of HM (16 carbons), meeting the limitations of monomer (b) of claims 1 and 15. The difference between polymer A-8 and the polymer of claim 1 is that copolymer A-8 does not contain an unsaturated monomer having a hydroxyl and/or carboxyl group.

As discussed above, Yuki '993 teaches in column 7 lines 21-65 that the monomer mixture may further comprise an unsaturated monomer containing a hydroxyl or carboxyl group, as recited in claims 1(c) and 9, and recited specific monomers meeting the limitations of claims 10-12 and 14. In column 9 lines 31-32, Yuki '993 teaches that this monomer is used in an amount of 0-20%, particularly 0.1-10%, overlapping the range recited in claim 1(c), and matching the 10% endpoint of claim 15. See MPEP 2144.05(I): "In the case where the

Art Unit: 1714

claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima* facie case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976). In light of the above, claims 1, 5, 8-12, and 14-15 are rendered obvious by Yuki '993.

Additionally, the use of DTM meets the limitations of claims 2-4 where p is 1, R' is a straight-chain alkyl group containing 12 carbon atoms, and R'' is a straight-chain alkyl group containing 10 carbon atoms.

Yuki '993 teaches in column 4 lines 14-17 and column 8 lines 39-40 that the composition can further contain 10-60% by weight of a C<sub>1-4</sub> alkyl (meth)acrylate, where the 10% endpoint matches the endpoint recited in claim 16, and overlaps the range of up to 15% recited in claim 7. Examples 17-29 of Yuki '993 (Columns 17-18 and Table 3-4) disclose concentrates and lubricating compositions of the copolymer, comprising 24 and 17% by weight respectively of the copolymer, and where the base oil has a kinematic viscosity of 3 mm²/s and a viscosity index of 117, meeting the limitations of claims 17-20. In column 13 lines 10-15 of Yuki '993, the uses of the lubricating compositions recited in claim 21 are disclosed.

While Yuki '993 does not explicitly disclose a copolymer having the solubility parameters recited in claims 22-23, it is the examiner's position that as all the structural features of the copolymer are rendered obvious, the solubility parameters would have been inherent.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,108 Page 7

Art Unit: 1714

## Response to Arguments

As a prima facie case of obviousness has been set forth in paragraph 4 above, it is appropriate to consider the Rule 132 declarations filed by applicant dated 8/31/06 and 12/6/06. The 8/31/06 declaration compares compositions comprising a copolymer prepared from a monomer mixture comprising an unsaturated monomer containing a least one of a hydroxyl or carboxyl group with compositions that do not contain such a monomer, and the 12/6/06 declaration compares compositions comprising a copolymer prepared from a monomer mixture comprising 4% by weight of the unsaturated monomer described above with copolymers prepared from mixtures comprising 6% and 25% by weight of the unsaturated monomer.

The data presented in these declarations is incommensurate with the scope of the claims. Specifically, the only unsaturated monomers used in the compositions that demonstrate alleged superior performance are hydroxyethyl methacrylate and methacrylic acid, while claim 1 recites *any* unsaturated monomer containing at least one hydroxyl or carboxyl group. The dependent claims, while narrower, still include other monomers that are not present in the compositions examined in the declarations. Additionally, claim 16 allows for the monomer mixture to comprise up to 10% by weight of a C<sub>1-4</sub> alkyl (meth)acrylate, such as methyl methacrylate, but in the declaration of 8/31/06 such a monomer is only present in the compositions that exhibit alleged inferior performance.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1714

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is 571-272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1714** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James C. Colday

VASU JAGANNATHAN
VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700